

[Sp1/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/252/2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

20 JAN 2016

**C.A. No. 94/2015 IN M.A. No. 110/2015 IN O.A. No. 120/2015.
(O.A. No.324/2012-A'bad)**

1 Shri Jalpat L. Vasave,
R/o. 21, Bhagwan Park, Opp. Bethal Colony, Nizar Road, Nandurbar,
Dist. Nandurbar.

.....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

1. Shri Vijay Kumar, Principal Secretary (Accounts & Treasuries) Finance Dept.,
Govt. of Maharashtra, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

.....**RESPONDENT/S.**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 18th day of **January, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri M.D. Lonkar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **18.01.2016.**

ORDER : 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Heard both sides.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has shows from annexure R-1 to the affidavit that order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. is complied with well within time and Contempt Application came to be filed because the communication of the decision was delayed.

4. In view of decision, learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows:-

(a) Applicant does not wish to press the application for action contempt.

(b) Applicant would avail remedy against the decision taken by the Government which is adverse to the Applicant.

5. Hence following order is passed:-

(a) C.A. is disposed of.

(b) Applicant shall be free to challenge adverse decision.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)

Chairman.

Anand
19/1/2016
Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.